Xin-Shan Ye, Xuefei Huang and Chi-Huey Wong*

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA. E-mail: wong@scripps.edu

Received (in Corvallis, OR, USA) 5th March 2001, Accepted 4th April 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 10th May 2001

New sialyl donors with a protected hydroxymethyl group at the anomeric center are over 1000 times more reactive than the normal ester containing sialylation reagent and give excellent yield (>90%) with unusually high $\beta\text{-stereoselectivity}$ in sialylation.

N-Acetylneuraminic acid (sialic acid) residues are often located at the non-reducing end of glycoconjugates, and play important roles in many biological recognition events such as cancer metastasis and bacterial or viral infection.^{1,2} The synthesis of sialyl glycoconjugates, however, remains a challenge.³

In a typical sialylation reaction, the electron withdrawing carboxy group at the anomeric center of sialic acids significantly destabilizes the oxonium ion forming transition state thus lowering the reactivity of sialyl donors towards nucleophiles. Furthermore, the steric hinderance at the anomeric center leads to elimination and low yields of sialylation. Various methods have been developed to overcome these problems, including, for example, introduction of an additional N-acetyl moiety, 4,5 installation of an anchimeric assisting group at the C3 position^{5–8} or a carboxy equivalent at the anomeric center.^{9,10} The latter approach includes utilizing a less electron withdrawing furyl substituent as the carboxy surrogate.9 This method, however, failed to yield any disaccharides with secondary sugar alcohols. Increased reactivities towards Niodosuccinimide (NIS) have also been observed with the reduced 2,3-didehydro sialic acid derivatives, but these reactions gave very low yields ($\sim 20\%$). 10

To tackle the aforementioned problems, we converted the carboxy group of sialic acid to the hydroxymethyl group and prepared derivatives $1\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{1d}$ for investigation (Scheme 1). The peracetylated sialic acid 3^{11} was treated with p-thiocresol to give thio-sialic acid $4\mathbf{b}$ in 75% yield together with 20% of the α isomer $4\mathbf{a}$. The protective groups of $4\mathbf{b}$ were exchanged for benzyl groups to give compound 5 in two steps in 55% yield. Reduction of the ester moiety was accomplished with LiBH₄ in 90% yield to give the hydroxymethyl sialic acid derivative 2, the hydroxy group of which was protected with the acetyl, benzyloxymethyl (BOM) or tert-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) group to give sialyl donors $1\mathbf{a}$ — $1\mathbf{c}$. The α sialyl donor $1\mathbf{d}$ was prepared from $4\mathbf{a}$ in a similar manner as the β sialyl donor $1\mathbf{a}$.

The relative reactivity values (RRV) of known and new sialic acid donors 1a-1d, 2, 4b and 5 were measured as previously described¹² and shown in Table 1. Compared to the benzyl protected sialic acid 5, over *three orders of magnitude* increase in RRV was observed with all the reduced sialic acid derivatives $1a\dagger-1d$ and 2 (RRV for 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d and 2 are 4.0×10^4 , 2.3×10^5 , 7.8×10^4 , 8.0×10^4 and 3.3×10^5 respectively). The reactivities of all these reduced sialic acids (1a-1d and 2) are

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (i) p-Thiocresol, BF₃·Et₂O, CH₂Cl₂, 20% for 4a, 75% for 4b; (ii) NaOMe, MeOH, 100%; (iii) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 55%; (iv) LiBH₄, THF, MeOH, 90%; (v) Ac₂O, pyridine, 96%; (vi) BOMCl, Prⁱ₂NEt, CH₂Cl₂, 86%; (vii) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF, 96%.


comparable to or even higher than perbenzylated L-fucose ${\bf 6}$ which was the most reactive thioglycoside measured previously. 12

With the RRV values in hand, sialylation of donors 1a-1d was performed. The TBDPS protected sially donor 1c failed to undergo glycosylation with galactose acceptor 7, presumably due to the large TBDPS group. With the smaller acetyl protective group, donor 1a underwent smooth sialylation with galactose acceptor 7 to give disaccharides 8 and 9 in 95% yield (8:9 = 15:1) in acetonitrile using dimethyl(methylthio)sulfonium triflate (DMTST) as the promoter^{13,14} (Scheme 2a). No elimination product was isolated. The hydroxymethyl moiety of the products can be subsequently unmasked after sialylation and selectively oxidized to the carboxy group in three high yielding steps as demonstrated by transformation of compound 8 to 10 (Scheme 2b) and 9 to 12 (Scheme 2c). However, quite unexpectedly the predominant product 8 formed in the sialylation contains a β linkage between the two monosaccharides. The near zero ${}^3J_{\rm C1,H3a}$ value in the EXCIDE^{5,15} spectra of **8** and **11**‡

Table 1 Relative reactivity values (RRV) of various thio-glycosides^a

Compound	RRV	Compound	RRV
BnO OBn STol OBn CH ₂ OAc	4.0 x 10 ⁴	BnO OBn STol OBn CH ₂ OBOM	2.3 x 10 ⁵
BnO OBn STol	7.8 x 10 ⁴	BnO OBn CH ₂ OAc OBn STol	8.0 x 10 ⁴
OBn STol OBn CH ₂ OH BnO 2	3.3 × 10 ⁵	AcO OAC STOI OAC ACHN ACO 4b	2.55
BnO OBn STOI CO2Bn BnO 5	48.4	OBn OBn OBn STol	7.2 x 10 ⁴

 $^{\alpha}$ The RRV is based on the reactivity of 1-thiotolyl-2,3,4,6-tetraacetyl- β -D-mannopyranoside.



Scheme 2 Reagent and conditions: (i) DMTST, molecular sieves, CH₃CN, -40 °C to rt, overnight; (ii) NaOMe, MeOH, rt, 1 h; (iii) PhI(OAc)₂, TEMPO, rt, 12 h; (iv) NaClO₂, 2-methylbut-2-ene, rt, 4 h; (v) NaOH, H₂O, rt, 1 h.

indicated the β-configuration, while the ${}^3J_{\text{C1,H3a}}$ value of 138 was determined to be 6.1 Hz indicating its α-configuration. Comparison of the chemical shifts of $H_{3\text{eq}}$ of compound 11 (2.64 ppm) and 13 (2.72 ppm)¹⁶ further confirmed the assignment following the empirical rules of chemical shift.⁴ The stereoselectivity does not vary much with different acceptors. Sialylation of various acceptors such as isopropyl alcohol 14, lactose derivative 15 as well as primary alcohol 16, glucosamine 17 and galactose 18, with donor 1a using DMTST as the promoter, gave predominantly the β-linked disaccharide¹⁷ (β: α > 10:1) in high yields (>90%). The exception was the sialic

acid derivative **19** which gave a ratio of 3:1 favoring β -disaccharide in 90% total yield. Sialylations in solvents such as ether, toluene and dichloromethane gave even more β anomer than those performed in acetonitrile. The acetonitrile effect¹⁸ could not significantly alter the anomeric selectivity. Sialylation of galactose **7** with the BOM protected donor **1b** or α sialyl donor **1d** gave the product with similar yield and stereoselectivity to those with donor **1a**.

Sialylations with promoters other than DMTST were also tested. MeOTf¹⁹ failed to activate sialyl donor **1a** while with PhSOTf²⁰ only the β isomer was isolated when galactose **18** was sialylated with **1a**. The use of NIS and triflic acid (TfOH) improved the α -selectivity (α : $\beta = 1:2.5$) when galactose acceptor **7** was sialylated with **1a** in 90% total yield.

In conclusion, it has been demonstrated that the reactivity of sialic donors can be dramatically increased by reducing the carboxy group at the anomeric center to the hydroxymethyl moiety. Subsequent sialylation with these novel sialyl donors proceeded in excellent yield (>90%) but with unusually high β stereoselectivity, probably due to a significant anomeric effect. The hydroxymethyl moiety can be easily oxidized to the carboxy group in high yield. The high reactivity of sialyl donors could find uses in the preparation of enzymatically stable unnatural oligosaccharides containing β-sialic acid. Oligosaccharides with unnatural glycosidic linkage could have important biological implications, as illustrated in the study of CD-1 mediated T-cell activation.²¹ The new glycosylation reagents can also be utilized in programmable one-pot synthesis, where the sialylation reaction often has to be the first and most reactive as sialic acid is often located at the non-reducing end of bio-active oligosaccharides.¹² Introduction of a C-3 auxiliary may give the α -linkage.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM-44154). We thank Dr Zhiyuan Zhang for helpful discussions.

Notes and references

† Selected data for 1a: 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.06 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, J = 3.8, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.20 (dd, J = 3.7, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (dd, J = 11.0, 13.4 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.44, 170.15, 88.86, 69.17, 68.18, 51.77, 35.62, 23.69, 21.07, 20.66; HRMS (M + Cs) calcd for $C_{48}H_{53}O_8NSCs$ 936.2546, found 936.2577.

‡ Selected data for 11: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.32 (s, 1H), 2.66 (dd, J = 4.4, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.72 (dd, J = 11.3, 13.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.36, 170.04, 102.54, 101.90, 100.26, 69.93, 69.19, 68.84, 52.04, 51.60, 35.92, 33.69, 28.65, 25.36, 24.25, 23.22; ³J_{C1,H3a} \sim 0 Hz; HRMS (M - H + 2 Na⁺) calcd for C₅₈H₆₅O₁₆NNa₂ 1054.4196, found 1054.4202.

\$ Selected data for 13: 1 H NMR (600 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 5.32 (s, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J=3.7, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (s, 3H), 1.88 (t, J=12.6 Hz, 1H); 13 C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 182.25, 174.40, 172.78, 103.45, 101.61, 101.28, 69.84, 68.80, 50.95, 38.15, 35.95, 29.43, 26.48; $^{3}J_{\rm Cl,H3a}=6.1$ Hz; HRMS (M-H+2 Na $^{+}$) calcd for $\rm C_{58}H_{65}O_{16}NNa_{2}$ 1054.4196, found 1054.4175.

- 1 M. P. DeNinno, Synthesis, 1991, 583.
- 2 K. Okamoto and T. Goto, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 5835.
- 3 G.-J. Boons and A. V. Demchenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 4539.
- 4 A. V. Demchenko and G.-J. Boons, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 1278.
- N. Hossain and G. Magnusson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 2217.
 J. C. Castro-Palomino, Y. E. Tsvetkov and R. R. Schmidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 5434.
- 7 T. Ercegovic and G. Magnusson, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 3378.
- 8 Y. Ito and T. Ogawa, Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 89.
- 9 S. J. Danishefsky, M. P. DeNinno and S.-H. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 3929.
- 10 E. Kirchner, F. Thiem, R. Dernick, J. Heukeshoven and J. Thiem, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1988, 7, 453.
- 11 A. Marra and P. Sinay, Carbohydr. Res., 1989, 187, 35.
- 12 Z. Zhang, I. R. Ollmann, X.-S. Ye, R. Wischnat, T. Bassov and C.-H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 734.
- 13 T. Murase, H. Ishida, M. Kiso and A. Hasegawa, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1988, **184**, c1.
- 14 O. Kanie, M. Kiso and A. Hasegawa, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1988, 7, 501.
- 15 H. Hori, T. Nakajima, Y. Nishida, H. Ohrui and H. Meguro, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 6317.
- 16 Comparison of H_{3eq} chemical shifts must be based on the free acid forms 11 (2.64 ppm) and 13 (2.72 ppm). The formation of lactone 12 caused an upfield shift of H_{3eq} to 2.60 ppm while the chemical shift of H_{3eq} of 10 remained 2.64 ppm.
- 17 The stereochemistry of the disaccharides was determined by measuring ${}^3J_{\text{CI},\text{H3a}}$ from the EXCIDE spectra as described for compounds **8**, **11** and **13**.
- 18 I. Braccini, C. Derouet, J. Esnault, C. Herve du Penhoat, J.-M. Mallet, V. MIchon and P. Sinay, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1993, **246**, 23.
- 19 H. Lonn, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1987, 6, 301.
- V. Martichonok and G. M. Whitesides, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 1702.
- 21 S. A. Porcelli and R. L. Modlin, Annu. Rev. Immunol., 1999, 17, 297.